US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shaughnessy No.: 101201

Date Out of EAB: APR 5 588

To:	William Miller Product Manager 16 Registration Division (TS-767)	
From:	Frank Davido, Chief Field Studies and Special Projects Section #5 Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS-769C)	
THRU:	Paul F. Schuda, Chief Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS-	769C) Parts Inhada
Attach	ned, please find the EAB review of	
Reg./F	File # : 239-2452 and 3125-341	
Chemic	cal Name: METHAMIDOPHOS	and and the second of the seco
Type F	Product : Insecticide	and the second s
Produc	et Name : MONITOR® Technical	<u>anna di managina di mangina di m</u>
Compan	ny Name : Chevron and Mobay in consor	tium
Purpos	se : Submission of, a proposed re	entry interval in response to
the r	requirements of the Régistration Stan	dard for METHAMIDOPHOS
****		
Date	Received: 11/1/85	Action Code: 655
Date Completed: 4/5/88		EAB #(s): 6141 and 6142
Monitoring study requested: Total Reviewing Time: 6.5 hr		Total Reviewing Time: 6.5 hr
Monito	oring study voluntarily:	
Defen	cals to: Ecological Ef	fects Branch
	Dominius Chomi	atrez Dranah

Toxicology Branch

#### REVIEW OF REENTRY DATA

## 1. CHEMICAL: 101201

Common name: METHAMIDOPHOS

Product name: MONITOR® Technical

Chemical name: O,S-dimethyl phosphoramidothioate

Structure:

Other names: Monitor, Nitofol, Tamaron

#### 2. TEST MATERIAL:

None

#### 3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Review of a proposed reentry interval

### 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Reg. File Nos. 239-2452 and 3125-341 Accession No. 259942

Record Nos. 161561 and 161562

#### 5. REVIEWED BY:

James D. Adams, PhD

Chemist

Field Studies and Special Projects Section #5

4/5/1988

### 6. APPROVED BY:

Frank Davido, Chief

Field Studies and Special Projects Section #5

Exposure Assessment Branch, HED (TS-769)

4/5/1988

### 7. CONCLUSIONS:

The proposed 24-hour reentry interval for products containing METHAMIDOPHOS is not acceptable, and the proposed labels are not acceptable. A 48-hour reentry interval is indicated.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Registrants should be directed to alter their labels to include a 48-hour reentry interval. The remaining parts of the proposed labels are acceptable for reentry protection.

Ź

## 9. BACKGROUND:

The Registration Standard for METHAMIDOPHOS required the Registrants to propose reentry interval(s) for their product. This was an early Registration Standard and contained the option that the Registrant could propose a Federal reentry interval based on the longest existing reentry interval. At that time, the longest reentry interval was the 24-hour interval established by the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) in the California Administrative Code, Title 3, Section 249 (2)(C). That regulation is a blanket establishment of reentry intervals for all category one pesticides.

# 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

This submission is a response to the Registration Standard, and conforms to one of the options allowed there for proposal of a reentry interval. No tests were conducted. There is no data in this submission to support the proposed reentry interval.

After monitoring fieldworker poisoning episodes and foliar dislodgeable residues in the field, CDFA has seen the need to establish a specific reentry interval of 2-days [the California Administrative Code, Title 3, Chapter 6, Section 6772 (C)]. A reentry level of 0.66 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> has also been established [CDFA Report HS-1280].

The consensus among scientists doing research on prevention of fieldworker poisonings - i.e. reentry research - is that environmental conditions in California and other arid areas are most conducive to persistance of toxic pesticide residues and that the hazard for fieldworkers is greatest in those areas. I suggest that in this case, the Agency should accept the Registrants proposal that the CDFA reentry interval be a Federal Standard. This would mean a 48-hour reentry interval rather than 24-hour interval mentioned in the submission. The Registrants would have the option to accept this 48-hour reentry interval for the entire U.S. or to submit data to justify different reentry interval(s) as discussed in Subdivision K of the Pesticide Assessment Guidelines.

## 11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

Done

## 12. CBI APPENDIX:

Not applicable